1		
2		
. 3		
4		
5		
6		
. 7		
8 9	DEPARTMENT OF OF THE CEMETERY	RE THE CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND FUNERAL BUREAU CALIFORNIA
10	STATEON	CALIFORNIA
11		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. A1 2016 50
13		OAH No. 2017120546
14 15	BRIAN JAMES DUKE 31211 Melanie Court Springville, CA 93265	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
16	Embalmer License No. EMB 8375 Funeral Director License No. FDR 1139	[Gov. Code, §11520]
17		
18 19	Respondents.	
20	FINDING	S OF FACT
21	1. On or about August 28, 2017, Comp	lainant Lisa M. Moore, in her official capacity as
22	the Bureau Chief of the Cemetery and Funeral B	ureau, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
23	Accusation No. A1 2016 50 against Brian James Duke (Respondent) before the Director of	
24	Consumer Affairs. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
25	2. On or about January 27, 1997, the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau (Bureau) issued	
26	Embalmer License No. EMB 8375 to Respondent. The Embalmer License expired on January	
27	31, 2016, and has not been renewed.	
28		•

- On or about November 13, 1997, the Bureau issued Funeral Director License No.
 FDR 1139 to Respondent. The Funeral Director License expired on November 30, 2015, and has not been renewed.
- 4. On or about August 31, 2017, Respondent was served with Accusation No. A1 2016 50. On or about September 14, 2017, Respondent signed and returned a Notice of Defense, requesting a hearing in this matter.
- 5. On, December 18, 2017, a Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address of record which was and is: 31211 Melanie Court Springville, CA 93265.

The Notice of Hearing informed him that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for April 26, 2018 at 9:00 a.m.

- 6. The matter was called for hearing at the date, time and location set forth in the Notice of Hearing. The assigned Administrative Law Judge found that the service of the Notice of Hearing on Respondent was proper. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Respondent. A default was declared and on motion of counsel for Complainant, the matter was remanded to the Bureau under Government Code section 11520. Following the entry of the default on the record, Mr. Duke appeared at the hearing location. The default had already been entered and the matter had been remanded to the Bureau.
 - 7. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent

- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director finds Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Director's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. A1 2016 50, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. A1 2016 50, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. The Director finds that the actual costs for investigation and enforcement are \$10,099.62 as of April 20, 2018. The costs shall be reduced by \$4,864.62, which shall be paid by Respondent Peers-Lorentzen in this matter. Respondent Duke shall pay the Bureau the remaining actual and reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of this matter in the amount of \$5,235.00.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Brian James Duke has subjected his Funeral Director License No. FDR 1139 and Embalmer License No. EMB 8375 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's Funeral Director License and Embalmer License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Business and Professions Code section 7707 and 7692, in conjunction with Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1204 (b), for misrepresentation in that consumer L. A. believed that the premium payments he made to Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Services, Inc. would be made to Americo Financial Life and Annuity Insurance Company or Liberty Insurance Services Company as agreed when in fact they were not.
- b. Business and Professions Code section 7707, in conjunction with Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1204 (b), in that Respondent accepted payments for insurance premiums on a pre-need contract but did not make the payments to the insurance company.

1	c. Business and Professions Code section 7692 for fraud in that from May 10, 2012 to		
2	October 24, 2013, Respondent Duke forged six checks for a total amount of \$39,031.70, thereby		
3	embezzling funds from Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Service, Inc.		
4	<u>ORDER</u>		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED that Funeral Director License No. FDR 1139 and Embalmer License		
6	No. EMB 8375, heretofore issued to Respondent Brian James Duke, are revoked.		
7	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
8	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
9	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
10	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
11	This Decision shall become effective on July 25, 2018.		
12	It is so ORDERED Jule 25, 418		
13			
14			
15	RYAN MARCROFT Deputy Director, Legal Affairs		
16	Department of Consumer Affairs		
17			
18	13119394,DOC DOJ Matter ID:SA2016104897		
19	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation		
20	Exhibit A. Accusation		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	>		

Exhibit A

Accusation

1			
1	Xavier Becerra		
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS		
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	ELENA L. ALMANZO Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 131058		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6.	Telephone: (916) 210-7902 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9.	FOR THE CEMETERY AND FUNERAL BUREAU STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:		
12'	PEERS-LORENTZEN FUNERAL ACCUSATION		
13	SERVICE, INC.; MARK W. LORENTZEN, CASE NO. A1 2016 50		
14	PRES., 132 W. Kern Ave.		
15	Tulare, CA 93274		
	Funeral Establishment No. FD 505		
16	PDIAN LANGUA DAKER		
17	BRIAN JAMES DUKE 31211 Melanie Court		
18	Springville, CA 93265		
19	Embalmer License No. EMB 8375		
20	Empainer Electise 110. ENIB 6575		
21	Respondents.		
22			
.2.	Complainant alleges:		
23	PARTIES		
24	1. Lisa M. Moore (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity a		
25	the Bureau Chief of the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
26	2. On or about March 8, 2002, the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau issued Funeral		
27 [°]	Establishment License Number FD 505 to Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Service, Inc.; Mark W.		
28			
20	Lorentzen, Pres., (Respondent Peers-Lorentzen). The Funeral Establishment License was in full		

(PEERS-LORENTZEN FUNERAL SERVICE, INC.; MARK W. LORENTZEN, PRES.) ACCUSATION

28

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. Section 7707 of the Code states, "Gross Negligence, gross incompetence or unprofessional conduct in the practice of funeral directing or embalming constitutes a ground for disciplinary action."

- 9. Section 7692 of the Code states, "[m]isrepresentation or fraud in the conduct of the business or the profession of a funeral director or embalmer constitutes a ground for disciplinary action."
- 10. Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1204 (b) provides in pertinent part:
 - "(b) The designated managing licensed funeral director of a licensed funeral establishment shall be responsible for exercising such direct supervision and control over the conduct of said funeral establishment as is necessary to ensure full compliance with the Funeral Directors and Embalmers Law, the provisions of this chapter and the applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Code. Failure of the designated managing licensed funeral director and/or the licensed funeral establishment to exercise such supervision or control, or failure of the holder of the funeral establishment license to make such designation shall constitute a ground for disciplinary action."

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part: "Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department upon request of the entity bringing the proceedings may request the administrative law judge may direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case."

BACKGROUND

12. In May of 2002, consumer L.A. and his wife N.A.¹ entered agreements with Peers-Lorentzen for a preneed contract. In order to fund a pre-need contract they agreed to pay for insurance which was initially through Americo Financial Life and Annuity Insurance Company and later changed to Liberty Insurance Services Company. L. A. was informed by Respondent

¹ Initials are used for privacy. The names may be revealed upon receipt of a request for discovery.

Duke that he could make cash payments to Peers-Lorentzen and they would pay the insurance premiums. Americo Financial Life and Annuity Insurance Company records show that the last payment they received for the insurance contracts was September 29, 2011. However, receipts provided by L.A. show that Peers-Lorentzen received payments from September 29, 2011 to August of 2012 in a total amount of \$660.00. The premium payments made to Peer-Lorenzen were not forwarded to the insurance company.

13. On May 10, 2012, Respondent Duke forged a check made payable to Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Service in the amount of \$3,428.10. On or about July 19, 2012, Respondent Duke forged a check made payable to Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Service in the amount of \$6,139.84. On or about October 18, 2012, Respondent Duke forged a check made payable to Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Service in the amount of \$6,749.68. On or about January 17, 2013, Respondent Duke forged a check made payable to Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Service in the amount of \$5,135.95. On or about April 11, 2013, Respondent Duke forged a check made payable to Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Service in the amount of \$8,804.95. On or about October 24, 2013, Respondent Duke forged a check made payable to Peers-Lorentzen in the amount of \$8,773.18. Respondent Duke cashed the aforementioned six checks for a total amount of \$39,031.70, thereby embezzling funds from Peers-Lorentzen.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct, Misrepresentation and Failure to Supervise)

14. Respondent Peers-Lorentzen and Respondent Duke are subject to disciplinary action under sections 7707 and 7692, in conjunction with Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1204 (b), for misrepresentation in that consumer L. A. believed that the premium payments he made to Peers-Lorentzen Funeral home would be made to Americo Financial Life and Annuity Insurance Company or Liberty Insurance Services Company as agreed, when in truth and in fact, the premiums were not paid as set forth above in paragraph 12.

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct)

15. Respondent Peers-Lorentzen and Respondent Duke are subject to disciplinary action under sections 7707, in conjunction with Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1204 (b), in that they accepted payments for insurance premiums on a pre-need contract but did make the payments for insurance as set forth more fully above in paragraph 12.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Fraud/Embezzlement)

16. Respondent Duke is subject to disciplinary action under section 7692 for fraud in that from May 10, 2012 to October 24, 2013, Respondent Duke forged six checks for a total amount of \$39,031.70, thereby embezzling funds from Peers-Lorentzen, as set forth above in paragraph 13.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Funeral Establishment Number FD 505, issued to Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Service, Inc.; Mark W. Lorentzen, Pres.,
- 2. Revoking or suspending Funeral Director License Number FDR 1139 issued to Brian James Duke:
- 3. Revoking or suspending Embalmer License Number EMB 8375 issued to Brian James Duke:
- 4. Ordering Peers-Lorentzen Funeral Service, Inc.; Mark W. Lorentzen, Pres. to pay the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
- 5. Ordering Brian James Duke to pay the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

`	
1	6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
. 3	
4	DATED: QUEMOT 28,2017 MSM MOORE
5	Bureau Chief
6	Cemetery and Funeral Bureau Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
7	State of California Complainant
8	SA2016104897
9	12786969.doc
10	
11	
12	
1.3	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
:24	
25	
26	
27	
28	